

*Except for a few changes at the copyediting, this is the version that was published.* Deshusses, M.A., W. Chen, A. Mulchandani, and I.J. Dunn. 1997. Innovative bioreactors. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 8(2): 165-168.

## **Innovative Bioreactors**

Marc A. Deshusses<sup>#</sup>, Wifred Chen<sup>#</sup>, Ashok Mulchandani<sup>#</sup>, Irving J. Dunn<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>#</sup>College of Engineering; University of California; Riverside, CA 92521, USA

<sup>\*</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, ETH, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

### **Abstract**

Recent papers have described new bioreactor designs. Most innovations addressed either oxygen transfer, shear induced by stirring, control of water activity in organic phase systems or waste biotreatment. The latest developments in these key areas are reviewed and discussed.

### **Introduction**

From the simple jar where the ancient Greeks fermented their wine to the computer controlled complex bioreactors commonly used today, great progress has been made in reactor design. Producing more, faster, with higher yields and more reliably has been the driving force of this evolution. In the past decades, consideration such as shear stress for the cultivation of fragile organisms, establishment of specific conditions for waste biotreatment, or specific means for in situ product or by-product recovery, have stimulated a number of new bioreactor designs. The present review reports the authors' perception of the new bioreactor designs published during the past year. Innovations during the past year were reported in mainly three areas: bioreactor designs for increase in oxygen transfer and decrease in shear stress, bioreactors for two phase reactions with water activity control, and environmental bioreactors.

### **Bioreactor designs for better mixing, oxygen transfer, and lower shear stress**

Mixing, oxygen transfer and shear stress remain the biggest challenges as far as scale-up to industrial size bioreactor is concerned. These parameters are generally linked, and compromises need to be done, for instance, on aeration to avoid excessive shear stress. The latest developments in bioreactors for better mixing, oxygen transfer and lower shear stress are reported below.

A bioreactor, using a centrifugal pump-type impeller in a conventional fermenter was reported [1,2]. As the impeller rotates, circulation is achieved through the draft tube, producing essentially uniform axial flow. The authors claim that their new centrifugal impeller generated very low shear, with favorable oxygen transfer and mixing, as well as low power consumption. However, shear stress was only evaluated using liquid velocity profiles. At this time, direct evaluation with

shear sensitive cultures, and comparison of the results with similar commercially available stirrers would be required to fully evaluate the effectiveness of this impeller.

For extremely shear-sensitive cultures such as mammalian or plant cells, bubble bursting at the surface is sometimes sufficient to generate high stresses which kill the cultures [3]. Development of bubble free bioreactor systems without conventional aeration-agitation technologies is needed to address this problem. Perfluorocarbons exhibit very high gas-dissolving capacities, and have been applied as a vector to provide oxygen to the culture medium and to remove carbon dioxide in a dissolved form [4-5]. A commercial perfluorocarbon, Foralkyl, when added to the influent medium in the emulsified form and saturated with oxygen, was able to provide close to the theoretical maximum oxygenation [4]. Similarly, antibiotics production from immobilized *Streptomyces coelicolor* cultures was improved by additional oxygen supplied with perfluorocarbons [5].

The potentially lethal bubble break-up at the gas-liquid interface was minimized by the development of a vortex wave [6] membrane bioreactor. The vortex wave generated very effective mixing under laminar flow conditions by generating, expanding and transporting vortices in an oscillatory flow field [7]. Significant mass transfer enhancement has been achieved under laminar flow conditions, without a major increase in power dissipation. Again, the low shear rate indicates that this vortex wave design may be an effective alternative to conventional bioreactors for shear-sensitive systems.

The mechanical mixing environment of a bioreactor can directly influence the overall productivity by affecting heat and mass transfer. One interesting approach to promote intensive mass transfer was developed, where the reaction mixture was intensively stirred by ferromagnetic particles [8]. Enhancement of enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis was achieved using such a novel type of bioreactor. However, the relatively high power consumption represents a potential drawback that may hinder the practical application of these ferromagnetic particles. Alternatively, bioreactors equipped with hydro-ejectors provide a powerful alternative for better aeration and mixing for large-scale bioreactors [9]. Gas-liquid contact occurs not only inside the bioreactor, but also inside the ejector. The jets guarantee a well mixed reactor, while the power input remains relatively low.

Rheological properties of culture media may change drastically during the course of a fermentation. For high density cultures, or those producing a viscous product, efficient mixing is sometimes difficult to achieve, resulting in poor distribution of oxygen and nutrients in the bioreactor. Reciprocating plate bioreactors [10•], provide very good mixing in the vertical direction due to volume exchange caused by the upward and downward motions of the perforated plates. In the horizontal direction, good mixing is produced by the uniform distribution of the perforated plates, and the formation, destruction and reformation of the ring vortex. This resulted in high oxygen transfer rate as well as spatially homogeneous mixing. Production of pullulan by the yeast *Aureobasidium pullulans* was vastly improved using a reciprocating plate bioreactor.

## **Bioreactors for enzyme reactions in organic phase**

Useful synthetic products can be produced at sufficiently high yield by hydrolytic enzymes if the equilibrium of the reaction is shifted sufficiently towards synthesis. This can be accomplished by carrying out the reaction in an organic solvent. A further increase in the yield can be obtained if the water produced during the hydrolytic reaction can be removed continuously in order to control the water activity.

Salt hydrates and saturated salt solution are amongst a number of different techniques that have been tried to remove water generated by the reaction. While prior works in this field demonstrated the successful applications of these techniques in removing water, there has been no demonstration before of a system or reactor design for the control of water activity during such enzymatic reactions.

Recently, a twin-core packed-bed reactor [11] and a packed bed hollow fiber reactor [12] incorporating salt hydrate pairs and salt solution, respectively, were reported. A novel twin-core packed-bed reactor consisting of an easily removable inner core of salt hydrate, that was separated from an outer core of lipase immobilized on polypropylene support, was constructed and evaluated. The separation of the inner salt hydrate core from the enzyme core, through which the substrate mixture was pumped, allowed for recovery and reuse of the enzyme and salt hydrate. Complete esterification was possible using this design that was not achievable in a reactor without salt hydrate.

In the reactor system using saturated salt solution, the enzyme and salt solution were physically separated by membrane [12]. The enzyme immobilized on microporous polypropylene matrix was placed on the shell side while the salt solution was circulated on the lumen side. Salt solution diluted by the water formed in the enzymatic reaction was resaturated by passing through a bed of salt. Complete esterification at controlled water activity was possible with this reactor system that was not achievable in reactor without water activity control.

Other demonstrations of two phase enzyme reactions utilized hollow fiber membrane reactors to separate the organic and aqueous phases. Because of the low aqueous solubility, the substrates were dissolved in the organic phase. The enzyme was immobilized by entrapment in the hollow fiber on the side in contact with the organic phase, that was maintained at a slightly positive pressure to prevent aqueous phase from penetrating the membrane into the organic phase. These reactors were used for interesterification of triglycerides and fatty acids [13] and production of optically active (*2R, 3S*)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl) glycidic acid methyl ester [14]. In the latter study, the membrane reactor was integrated to a crystallizer to recover optically pure product. The advantages of these reactor configurations are the reusability of the enzyme, the longer term stability, and the ease of reloading of the enzyme when the activity declined.

## Environmental bioreactors

In recent years, efforts were directed towards finding cost effective biotreatment for chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbon wastes. If many of these compounds are readily degradable under aerobic conditions, some chlorinated aliphatics such as trichloroethylene (TCE) or perchloroethylene (PCE) require either cometabolism with e.g., methane or toluene, or a combination of aerobic-anaerobic treatment. This stimulated the development of several new reactor configurations, many of them using membranes as a means to separate biocatalyst and waste streams undergoing treatment [15]. An elegant hollow-fiber membrane reactor configuration was proposed by Parvatiyar et al. [16••] to achieve synchronous aerobic-anaerobic treatment. The TCE contaminated air stream was circulated through the lumen of a hollow-fiber module and an oxygen-free nutrient solution was circulated on the shell side. Diffusion limitation through the biofilm attached to the fibers provided the dual aerobic-anaerobic environment necessary for synchronous treatment. After start-up with toluene and biofilm build-up, TCE vapors were treated, e.g., 30% removal efficiency was achieved in 36 s gas residence time for an inlet stream contaminated with 20 ppmv of TCE.

In another study geared towards TCE elimination [17••], a hollow-fiber membrane module was coupled with a fed-batch bioreactor for spatial separation of metabolism and cometabolism. *Methylosynus trichosporium* OB3b was grown on methane in a fed-batch bioreactor and the suspended culture was continuously circulated through the shell side of a membrane module. TCE contaminated water was circulated through the lumen of the fibers. In doing so, competition between methane and TCE was avoided and higher biodegradation rates of TCE were obtained.

Further, the use of extractive membrane bioreactors seems to open new avenues for the treatment of heavily contaminated wastewaters. Extractive membranes are permeable to organic pollutants, but virtually non-permeable to water, ionic species or heavy metals. This prevents the pollutant degrading culture located on the opposite side of the membrane to be exposed to extreme pH, high salt concentration or other inhibitory conditions. Wastewaters containing e.g., chloronitrobenzene (pH<0), 3,4 dichloroaniline (pH>12) or benzene/benzophenone (pH<0) have been successfully treated using such extractive membrane bioreactors [18]. However, the performance can be limited by mass transfer on the polluted water side of the membrane due to high liquid residence times necessary for the treatment. To overcome this problem, Livingston [18] constructed a cascade of three modules, each of them with a high recycle flowrate, so that mass transfer could be increased independently of the system throughput.

Because of intrinsic limitations of pollutant degrading cultures, high interest exists in combining a chemical or physico-chemical treatment, such as UV or ozone, with biological treatment for recalcitrant chemicals. The objective is to optimize the costs of treatment by first breaking down xenobiotics to more biodegradable entities using a conventional, sometimes expensive, technique, and complete the treatment with a bioreactor. A new combination was recently presented [19], in which non-thermal electrons were produced by pulsed-electric discharge (PED) and served for the dechlorination of 2,4-dichlorophenol. The innovative part is the use of a nebulizer for the wastewater, since aerosols can be more efficiently treated than liquids in PED reactors. The

reduced products were then fed to a bioreactor, where they were biodegraded. The combination allowed smaller reactor volumes. Energy costs still need to be optimized.

### **Other innovative bioreactors**

Two new bioreactor designs merit to be mentioned. First, a packed bed where the feed is introduced in a square wave manner, using a new elastic membrane pulsator [20]. Compared to a non-pulsed bioreactor, production of ethanol by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* increased up to 18%, depending on the frequency of the pulses and the overall hydraulic residence time. Possible explanations for the better performance of the pulsed system are a better degassing, less back mixing, and improved mass transfer. The second interesting development is an attempt to establish a continuously aerated plug flow bioreactor [21•]. The reactor is made of a rotating spiral, partially filled with the culture broth. As the spiral rotates, the culture moves along the length of the reactor. Mixing is achieved through aeration. Characterization showed that a plug-flow was indeed obtained, and that limited mixing occurred between two adjacent loops. A possible disadvantage of such a system, is that it behaves more like a series of batch reactors, so that inoculation is required for each new loop. Demonstration of the feasibility and of the advantages of this reactor setup at a larger scale is still needed.

### **Conclusions**

Recent developments in bioreactor design attempted to either address some of the limitations of existing bioreactors, or to open new avenues in bioprocessing. Clearly, many of the bioreactor designs discussed herein still require improvement, and confirmation of significantly better performance compared to existing designs. Further development of innovative bioreactor designs remains a high priority, since a single bioreactor configuration will never provide a universal solution. In many instances, progresses in reactor design will require similar advances in understanding the fundamentals of bioprocess limitations, so that a more rational, creative and focused approach in bioreactor design can be performed.

### **References and recommended reading**

1. Wang SJ, Zhong JJ: **A novel centrifugal impeller bioreactor I. Fluid circulation, mixing, and liquid velocity profiles.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1996, **51**: 511--519.
2. Wang SJ, Zhong JJ: **A novel centrifugal impeller bioreactor II. Oxygen transfer and power consumption.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1996, **51**: 20--527.
3. Michaels JD, Mallik AK, Papoutsakis ET: **Sparging and agitation-induced injury of cultured animal cells - Do cell-to-bubble interactions in the bulk liquid injure cells.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1996 **51**: 399--409.

4. Martin S, Soucaille P, Condoret JS: **Bubble free gaseous transfer in bioreactors using perfluorocarbons.** *Bioprocessing Engineering* 1995, **13**: 293--300.
5. Elibol M, Mavituna F: **Use of perfluorocarbon for oxygen supply to immobilized *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2).** *Process Biochemistry* 1996, **5**: 507--512.
6. Millward HR, Bellhouse BJ, Sobey IJ; Lewis RWH: **Enhancement of plasma filtration using the concept of the vortex wave.** *J Membrane Science* 1995, **100**: 121--129.
7. Millward HR, Bellhouse BJ, Sobey IJ: **The vortex wave membrane bioreactor: hydrodynamics and mass transfer.** *The Chemical Engineering Journal* 1996, **62**: 175--181.
8. Gusakov AV, Sinitsyn AP, Davydkin IY, Davydkin VY, Protas O: **Enhancement of enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis using a novel type of bioreactor with intensive stirring induced by electromagnetic field.** *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 1996, **56**: 141--153.
9. Orfaniotis A, Lalane M, Doubrovine N, Fonade C, Moser A: **Oxygen transfer and scale-up in bioreactors using hydro-ejectors for gas-liquid contacting.** *Bioprocessing Engineering* 1996, **14**: 211--218.
- 10. Lounes M, Audet J, Thibault J, LeDuy A: **Description and evaluation of reciprocating plate bioreactors.** *Bioprocessing Engineering* 1995, **13**: 1--11.  
A unique impeller design that provides homogeneous mixing without introducing high shear rate.
11. Rosell CM, Vaidya, AM: **Twin-core packed-bed reactors for organic-phase enzymatic esterification with water activity control.** *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1996, **44**: 283--286.
12. Rosell CM, Vaidya, AM, Halling, PJ: **Continuous in situ water activity control for organic phase biocatalysis in a packed bed hollow fiber reactor.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1996, **49**: 284--289.
13. Basheer S, Mogi KI, Nakajima M: **Development of a novel hollow-fiber membrane reactor for the interesterification of triglycerides and fatty acids modified lipase.** *Process Biochem* 1996, **30**: 531--536.
14. Furui M, Furutani T, Shibatani T, Nakamoto Y, Mori T: **A membrane bioreactor combined with crystallizer for production of optically (2R, 3S)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-glycidic acid methyl ester.** *J Ferment Bioeng* 1996, **81**: 21--25.
15. Brindle K, Stephenson T: **The application of membrane biological reactors for the treatment of wastewaters.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1996, **49**: 601--610.  
A well documented review on the various ways to involve membranes for wastewater biotreatment.

- 16. Parvatiyar MG, Govind R, Bishop DF: **Treatment of trichloroethylene (TCE) in a membrane biofilter.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1996, **50**: 57--64.

This study presents a hollow-fiber bioreactor where TCE contaminated air streams are treated by a combined aerobic-anaerobic biofilm. Because of oxygen diffusion limitation, part of the biofilm becomes anaerobic and reductive dechlorination of TCE is achieved, metabolites are biodegraded in the aerobic part of the biofilm. A mathematical model is presented.

- 17 Aziz CE, Fitch MW, Linnquist LK, Pressman JG, Georgiou G, Speitel GE Jr.: **Methanotrophic biodegradation of trichloroethylene in a hollow-fiber membrane bioreactor.** *Environ Sci Technol* 1995, **29**: 2574--2583.

This study reports biodegradation and transfer experiments of a combination of a fed-batch bioreactor and a hollow-fiber membrane bioreactor for TCE elimination from waste water. Metabolism was spatially separated from cometabolism avoiding the usual competition between substrates. TCE mass balances were closed by using radiolabeled TCE and a mathematical model of the hollow-fiber bioreactor was presented.

- 18. Livingston AG, Dos Santos LMF, Pavasant P, Pistikopoulos EN, Strachan LF: **Detoxification of industrial wastewaters in an extractive membrane bioreactor.** *Wat Sci Tech* 1996, **33**: 1--8

- 19 Yee D, Yankelevich E, Bystritskii V, Wood T: **A dual-treatment system for the degradation of 2-4 dichlorophenol which utilizes pulsed-electric discharge reactor and bioremediation.** Paper 103e presented at the AIChE 1996 Annual Meeting, Chicago, November 10-15.

This paper present preliminary results of a combined pulsed-electric discharge reactor (PED) and a bioreactor. PED can usually not be applied to water effluents because of inefficient and costly treatment. The use of aerosols is presented for the first time. The overall process showed high treatment efficiency.

- 20 Roca E, Flores J, Núñez MJ, Lema JM: **Ethanol fermentation by immobilized *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in a semipilot pusing packed-bed bioreactor.** *Enzyme Microb Technol* 1996, **19**:132--139.

- 21 Tisseyre B, Coquille JC, Gervais P: **Conception and characterization of a continuous plug flow bioreactor.** *Bioprocessing Engineering* 1995, **13**: 113--118.

Several aspects of the newly developed bioreactor including  $K_L a$ , contamination between loops and liquid mixing are described.